



FIRST TOPIC:

GLOBAL FUND OF 2 TO 3% OF WORLD GDP ANNUALLY TO END HUNGER, OVERPOPULATION AND GLOBAL WARMING

A world system that already consumes 2% of global GDP in military spending, another 5% in corruption, another 2% in money laundering, another 2% in smoking, another 2% in drug trafficking, and another 2% is incoherent from all points of view. % in cellular telephony (15% of the total GDP in superfluous expenses) ... While refusing to contribute a

fund of 2% to Save the entire Planet ... The UN Program for the Environment (UNEP) advises to allocate 2% for the green economy... what are we waiting for ?!

* UNEP indicated in 2010 that if 2% of world GDP were allocated each year to sustainable initiatives, the world economy would grow at the same rate as now, but without exacerbating the depletion of resources and with a lower level of polluting emissions. See the report: "Towards a Green Economy: Pathways to Sustainable Development and Poverty Eradication".

https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/126GER_synthesis_en.pdf

WHY 2% OF WORLD GDP?

Sir Nicholas Stern defined that 1% of GDP must be invested to stop global warming. We increased it to 2% including the eradication of hunger and extreme poverty.

Our actions in the decades immediately to come may risk a disruption to economic and social activity for the remainder of this century and the next, on a scale similar to that of the great world wars and the Great Depression. This is the conclusion of the report by economist Sir Nicholas Stern commissioned by the UK government and published on October 30, 2006.

Its main conclusions affirm that an investment equivalent to 1% of world GDP is needed to mitigate the effects of climate change and that if this investment is not made, the world would be exposed to a recession that could reach 20% of global GDP. The report also suggests the imposition of eco-taxes to minimize socio-economic imbalances.

The United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) proposed to allocate 2% of the world's annual GDP by applying: 0.5% to sectors of

natural capital (forests, agriculture, fresh water and fishing); 1% in improving energy efficiency and in the use of renewable energies (mainly applied to construction, industry and transport), and the remaining percentage to waste and public transport.

- In the 2009 Master Plan, the architect Roberto Gomes proposed that a world fund of 2% of world GDP be used to end the triple scourge of hunger, overpopulation and global warming.
- In 2010, UNEP advised allocating 2% to the green economy.
- In 2019, United Nations scientists recommended allocating 2.5% of world GDP to curb Global Warming.

There is therefore an international consensus about the need to use capital intensive funds to apply them in large-scale, intensive projects to curb global warming. And at the same time end hunger, extreme poverty and limit overpopulation. If the world is not willing to organize itself under a war economy situation, as happened in the United States with World War II, victory will not be achieved against the challenges that we all face.

If the hypothesis of the "clathrate gun" is taken into account and that positive feedback can happen in 2030 or earlier, there is no time left, so the investment should be greater. So instead of 2%, 3% of world GDP should be applied annually.

QUESTIONS:

- 1.- What do you think about this, should there be an international common fund to address the climate emergency?
- 2- How should one act so that countries quickly vote on this fund?

3- In addition to global warming, must we also end hunger, extreme poverty, pandemics and overpopulation?

4- How should these funds be raised?

If you wish to contact us, you can do so through the email address wearetheecoplanet@gmail.com